

Message

---

**From:** Smeraldi, Josh [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7011C5F0ADAB4BDC860A26380510F40B-SMERALDI, J]  
**Sent:** 7/28/2020 3:34:08 PM  
**To:** AccardiDey, AmyMarie [AmyMarie.Accardi-Dey@wsp.com]  
**CC:** Warner, Leonard [len.warner@wsp.com]; Franklin Beth (Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil) [Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil]  
**Subject:** RE: Public Meeting Presentation  
**Attachments:** 2020-07-28 Public Meeting Presentation JS.pptx

Hi AmyMarie,

I am just sending this as an update. I incorporated Michaels comments (see below) and sent it to ORC for review. Regarding his comments on the comparative analysis I explained that this is how the FS was done and I will just have to explain it while presenting. But it do agree it is confusing.

I will let you know when I hear back from ORC.

Thanks,

Josh

---

**From:** Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 3:43 PM  
**To:** Smeraldi, Josh <Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Public Meeting Presentation

Josh: Here are my comments. Also, I think we need a slide that summarizes the risk assessments. The HHRA one can list the populations associated with unacceptable risk and the COCs, and the eco one can identify the results of the SLERA. Perhaps these can go after Slide 7?

Slide 4: Under the "Anticipated future use of the property..." bullet, please discuss the lines of evidence EPA evaluated to reach this conclusion, including the City of Newark plan that was mentioned at the last CAG meeting.

Slide 5: The second bullet isn't really part of a time line – there's no date. Perhaps modify this to a sub-bullet under the first bullet?

Slide 6: Should there be a comma after, PPG: "Following PPG, various companies operated..."

Slide 7: The text bullets on the figure – are they a combination of past removal actions and features at the site, meaning, they don't identify the same type of thing. That's fine, just make sure you discuss what they all are.

Slide 8: The only COC identified on this slide is lead, in the first bullet. This might suggest that lead is the only contaminant of concern at the site. I see the next slide lists other other COCs, but it might be helpful to include something like, "Soils were also contaminated with other contaminants (see next slide) above New Jersey's acceptable levels for an industrial/commercial property." Or something like that, to show that it's not just lead.

Slide 9:

- Revise to "Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)" and "Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)".

- Is “hydrocarbon” the best example of a n SVOC? “hydrocarbon” isn’t really a chemical – it’s a class. Can we use an actual chemical?
- Revise the description of soil gas to “Soil gas is vapor originating from soil or groundwater that can potentially migrate into buildings.”

Suggest presenting the categories in the same order they are in the PRAP.

There should be a slide that lists and explains the 9 criteria, organized into threshold criteria, balancing criteria and modifying criteria.

Slide 11: (these comments apply to all comparison slides)

- Add the name of each alternative as it’s presented in the PRAP.
- Under alternative 5 it says, “stabilization with a cement”. Was cement used in the PRAP? We should use similar language when possible. I thought we said something like “amendment”.
- May need to include costs for each alternative on this slide. I will look at other PRAP presentations.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Slide 12: Change “humans” to “Protection of Human Health and Environment”

Slide 17: Fix “cemented”.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Slide 22: Include the number of each alterantive.

Slide 23: I’m thinking that all costs should be presented in \$M (\$0.025M), for consistency – thoughts?

Michael Sivak

[sivak.michael@epa.gov](mailto:sivak.michael@epa.gov) | 212.637.4310 (desk) | 646.438.5237 (cell)

**From:** Smeraldi, Josh <[Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov](mailto:Smeraldi.Josh@epa.gov)>

**Sent:** Monday, July 27, 2020 7:38 AM

**To:** Sivak, Michael <[Sivak.Michael@epa.gov](mailto:Sivak.Michael@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Public Meeting Presentation

Hi Michael,

See attached for the current presentation for the public meeting. WSP provided some suggestions and they can clean up the figures once we think it in its final shape. Let me know what you think.

Thanks!

Josh

--

Josh Smeraldi, Ph.D. Env. Eng  
EPA Region 2 Superfund and Emergency Management Division  
212 637 4302